

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,597	08/19/2003	Walter H. Whitlock	M02A454	3964
7590 04-28/2005		EXAMINER		
Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			EL ARINI, ZEINAB	
	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.	.P.		
10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Landmark	Square		1746	
Stamford, CT	06901-2682		DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			13				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	10/643,597	WHITLOCK, WALTER	≀ H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence addres	SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commuNBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		tters, prosecution as to the me	erits is				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicat	ion						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		· •					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.	,						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	· ·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.	•					
Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Sta	ge				
application from the International Bur	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachment(s)		-					
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)				
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _		-,				
	· —						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification, page 11, line 20, "contentsLiquid" is confusing and indefinite term. It is suggested that after "contents", --"."---should be inserted.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

Art Unit: 1746

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 7-8, claim 8, lines 8-9, 11-12, line 14, claim 10, line 2, claim 11, line 8, 11, 13, claim 12, line 2, "said wafer", lacks antecedent basis.

4. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the cleaning element, because applicant recited a system for cleaning a surface, without reciting the element for cleaning a surface of a semiconductor wafer.

Art Unit: 1746

- 5. Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the steps of mixing a liquefied gas component and liquid component.
- 6. In claim 21, line 2, "passively" is relative and indefinite term.
- 7. Claims 6 and 7 are confusing.
- 8. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted

Application/Control Number:

10/643,597

Art Unit: 1746

steps are: the step of cleaning the surface of semiconductor wafer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeYoung et al. (US 2002/0112747) in combination with Nishio (6,612,818).

DeYoung et al. disclose a process and apparatus for cleaning a semiconductor wafer using dense phase carbon dioxide. Dense carbon dioxide is stored in a high-pressure vessel (I) (50) (at pressure between 300 and 5000 psi), the wafer is loaded into a cleaning chamber (III)(51). Cleaning chamber (III) is pressurized with clean carbon dioxide from either a bulk storage tank through valve (i)(55) or from pressure vessel (I)(50) through valve

Art Unit: 1746

(a)(56) to a pressure of between 300 psi and 5000 psi. Highly filtered chemical adjuncts are added to the cleaning chamber from adjunct addition module (VI)(61) through valve (b)(62) during addition of dense CO2 or alternatively prior to the addition of dense CO2. The reference discloses conveying a dense gas component and a liquid component to a vessel, applying an elevated pressure to said vessel, and contacting said component with the surface of the wafer. The reference discloses the dense component and the liquid component (isopropyl alcohol), the pressure, and the mixing steps as claimed. See

DeYoung et al. do not teach using a bellows accumulator as claimed.

paragraphs 40-41,48-54 and 63, and Fig.4.

Art Unit: 1746

Nishio discloses a bellows type pump or accumulator for transporting chemical liquid in various process such as washing surfaces of liquid crystal display devices in semiconductor producing apparatus. See col. 1, lines 14-46, col. 2, lines 6-18.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the accumulator taught by Nishio instead of the pressure vessel taught by DeYoung et al. to obtain the claimed process and system, and to improve the cleaning process. This is because both accumulator and pressure vessel used to elevate the pressure of the cleaning component. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to adjust the flow rate to obtain the component velocity as claimed.

Application/Control Number:

10/643,597

Art Unit: 1746

10. Claims 1-2, 5, 8-19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barton (6,085,762) in combination with Nishio.

Barton discloses a process and system for cleaning a surface of a semiconductor wafer. The reference discloses conveying a component comprises a dense gas component, a liquid component, and a mixture thereof to a tank, applying an elevated pressure to said tank, contacting the component with a surface of semiconductor wafer. The reference discloses the dense gas, the mixing process, and the pressure as claimed. See col. 3, lines 39-67, col. 4, lines 51-55, col. 5, lines 18-21, col. 7, lines

Application/Control Number:

10/643,597

Art Unit: 1746

46-62, col. 8, lines 2-5, 11-20, 29-54, col. 12, lines 1-15, the claims and Fig. 1.

Barton does not teach the bellows accumulator as claimed.

Nishio as discussed supra discloses the bellows accumulator as claimed.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the accumulator taught by Nishio instead of the ballast tank taught by Barton to obtain the claimed process and system, and to improve the cleaning process. Simply alternating choice of tank because Barton discloses that to render the process as continuously efficient as possible by providing a ready source of pressurized fluid at any time needs this.

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

ZEE 04/25/05